

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/163,807	09/30/98	WORTHINGTON		D	RYA-118/CIP/
_	- QM12/1020				EXAMINER
MARK ALBOSZTA LUMEN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SERVICES 426 LOWELL AVENUE				ASTORINO,M	
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO CA 94301			3736		
				DATE MAILED:	10/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

: i

Application No. 09/163,807

Applicant(s)

Brown et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Michael Astorino

Group Art Unit 3736

·
the merits is closed
hirty days, whichever ponse will cause the the provisions of
ling in the application.
awn from consideration.
e allowed.
e rejected.
e objected to.
or election requirement.
proved.
1
/ 2/5))
'.2(a)).

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

In claims 12-14, 26-28, figures 2-3, a separate species wherein a communication network is disclosed.

In claims 7-10, 16, 20-23, 25, 30-31, 38-39, and 48-50 figures 5-6(a and b), a separate species wherein different types of insulin to used.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1-6, 11, 15-19, 24, 29, 32-37, and 40-47 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon. including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09163807

Art Unit: 3736

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Marek Alboszta on 10/18/00 to request an oral election to

the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37)

CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Michael Astorino whose telephone number is (703) 306-9067.

M. Astorino

October 19, 2000

Max Hindenburg

Page 3

Primary Examiner